

# LIST OF CORRECTIONS

This publication has been prepared primarily by assembling and binding the maps and tabular materials originally issued in the separate PC(1)-A, B, C, and D final reports for the United States. This plan was adopted in order to conserve public funds. The corrections listed below represent changes which would ordinarily have been made if this publication had been prepared by reprinting these maps and tabular materials. Those items with an asterisk (\*) consist of

errors which affect not only the specific figure(s) mentioned but also affect other data in this publication. Among the other data which might be involved are the statistics for a larger area of which the particular area is part and the statistics by such classifications as urban-rural residence and size of place. The user can, of course, carry through these types of changes if he thinks them worthwhile.

Page S-1, Figure 1. Hawaii shown approximately 5° south of true location.

Pages S-8 and S-9, Figure 7. Subregion 23: The boundary between State Economic Areas 7 and 9, North Carolina and South Carolina, should be a dashed State line.  
Subregion 29: The boundary between Ohio and West Virginia should be a dashed State line.  
Subregion 95: The boundary between State Economic Areas C, 6, and 8 in Oklahoma is incorrectly shown as an Economic Subregion boundary.

Page S-60, Figure 107  
The bars for Arizona, Texas, and Virginia should show 47.3, 48.5, and 50.4 percent blue-collar workers, and 7.4, 8.2, and 6.6 percent farm workers, respectively.

Page 3, table 1

Area	1960 population	
	From	To
United States population abroad: <sup>21</sup>		
Federal employees.....	647,730	645,499
Armed Forces.....	609,720	610,174
Civilians.....	38,010	35,325
Dependents of Federal employees.....	506,393	505,752
Other citizens.....	<sup>2</sup> 187,834	<sup>2</sup> 190,706

Footnote 18: Add "Civilian population only."

Footnote 19: Replace with the following: "Estimated population; see Current Population Reports, Population Estimates, Series P-25, No. 238, December 8, 1961, for derivation of estimates."

Page 5, table 4  
Hilo, Hawaii: Change county to Hawaii.

Page 6, table 4  
Pearl City (U), Hawaii: Change county to Honolulu.

Page 12, table 6\*  
Daineville Cotton Mills (U), Ga., (population 2,207) misclassified as an incorporated place.

Page 14, table 8  
Change footnote 1 to read the same as footnote 1 of table 7.

Page 18, table 10  
Hawaii: Delete the percent increase between 1850 and 1860.

Page 20, table 12  
Population per square mile of land area, 1960:  
New Jersey..... 806.7 806.6  
Illinois..... 180.3 180.2  
Texas..... 36.5 36.4  
Puerto Rico..... 686.4 686.8

In addition to the above change for Puerto Rico, Columns 1 and 2, area (square miles), 1960, total and land should be changed from 3,437 and 3,423 to 3,435 and 3,421, respectively.

Pages 41-49, table 22

Urbanized area	1960								Percent increase in population, 1950 to 1960	
	Population				Land area in square miles		Population per square mile of land area			
	Number		Percent distribution		From	To	From	To	From	To
	From	To	From	To	From	To	From	To	From	To
<u>Page 41</u>										
Bridgeport, Conn.....	No change		No change		171.3	148.4	2,140	2,471	No change	28.9
Bridgeport.....					17.9	15.1	8,757	10,381		
In urban fringe.....					153.4	133.3	1,368	1,575		
Cleveland, Ohio.....	1,784,991	1,783,436	No change		No change		3,042	3,040	29.0	93.6
In urban fringe.....	908,941	1,907,386					1,798	1,795	93.9	
<u>Page 42</u>										
Fresno, Calif.....	213,444	211,345	100.0	100.0	No change		3,522	3,488	63.4	61.8
Fresno.....	No change		62.7	63.4			No change	2,485	2,419	104.3
In urban fringe.....	79,915	177,416	37.3	36.6			2,485	2,419	104.3	98.9
Galveston-Texas City, Texas.....	No change		No change		153.3	88.8	773	1,334	No change	92.2
In central cities.....					129.2	64.7	768	1,534		
Galveston.....					84.2	19.7	798	3,410		
<u>Page 43</u>										
Great Falls, Mont.:					No change		4,856	4,846	No change	62.6
Great Falls.....	55,357	155,244	96.1	95.9	1,515	1,590	1,515	1,590		
In urban fringe.....	2,272	2,385	3.9	4.1	No change		2,647	2,645	No change	93.1
Houston, Texas.....	1,139,678	1,138,819	100.0	100.0	No change		No change	1,967	1,959	
Houston.....	No change		82.3	82.4			No change	1,967	1,959	
In urban fringe.....	201,499	1,200,600	17.7	17.6			1,967	1,959		
Huntington-Ashland, W.Va.-Ky.-Ohio:					No change		8.0	14.0	No change	55.8
Huntington.....					No change		14.0	8.0		
Ashland.....							2,235	3,910		
Jacksonville, Fla.....	372,569	378,507	100.0	100.0	No change		3,344	3,398	53.4	55.8
Jacksonville.....	No change		54.0	53.1			No change	2,113	2,186	346.8
In urban fringe.....	171,539	2,177,477	46.0	46.9			2,113	2,186	346.8	362.3
<u>Page 44</u>										
Lorain-Elyria, Ohio.....	142,860	149,887	100.0	100.0	No change		1,755	1,841	No change	42.2
In central cities.....			78.9	75.2			No change	614	757	
Elyria.....			48.3	46.0			No change			
In urban fringe.....			30.6	29.2			No change	1,563	1,988	46.6
<u>Page 45</u>										
Mobile, Ala.....	268,139	260,216	100.0	100.0	171.5	130.9	1,563	1,988	46.6	51.0
Mobile.....	202,779	194,856	75.6	74.9	152.9	112.3	1,326	1,735	57.2	
In urban fringe.....			24.4	25.1	No change		No change			

NOTE.--Mobile, Ala.: The 1960 Census tabulations for Mobile city include an area annexed to the city prior to April 1, 1960, which annexation was later voided by the courts. The 1960 Census population count for this area is 7,923. This area is outside the Mobile Urbanized Area but inside the Mobile SMSA. Corrections resulting from this change are listed below for all chapter A tables. In general, data have not been tabulated to revise the statistics on characteristics; therefore, no attempt has been made to list corrections to chapters B, C, and D.

See footnotes on page XCIII.

List of Corrections

XCIII

Pages 41-49, table 22--Con.

Urbanized area	1960								Percent increase in population, 1950 to 1960	
	Population				Land area in square miles		Population per square mile of land area			
	Number		Percent distribution		From	To	From	To	From	To
<u>Page 45--Con.</u>										
New York-Northeastern New Jersey.....	14,114,927	14,116,006	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change
In urban fringe.....	5,371,912	5,370,991								
Omaha, Nebr.-Iowa.....	389,881	391,591	100.0	100.0	No change	No change	4,381	4,400	25.7	26.2
Omaha.....	No change	77.4	77.0				No change	No change	No change	No change
In urban fringe.....	88,283	89,993	22.6	23.0			2,336	2,381	49.2	52.1
<u>Page 46</u>										
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	1,804,400	1,805,310	No change	No change	No change	No change	3,437	3,439	17.7	17.8
In urban fringe.....	1,200,068	1,200,978					2,548	2,550	40.2	40.3
<u>Page 47</u>										
San Francisco-Oakland, Calif.....	No change	No change	571.5	568.5	4,253	4,276	No change	No change	No change	No change
In central cities.....			100.6	97.6	11,013	11,351				
San Francisco.....			47.6	44.6	15,553	16,599				
Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke, Mass.-Conn.....	449,777	459,132	100.0	100.0	No change	No change	1,883	1,923	26.0	26.6
In central cities.....	69.0	62.9								
Springfield.....	41.7	39.0								
Chicopee.....	14.7	13.4								
Holyoke.....	12.6	11.5								
In urban fringe.....	161,072	170,427	31.0	37.1	No change	No change	979	1,036	15.2	21.9
<u>Page 48</u>										
Syracuse, N.Y.....	333,286	334,261	100.0	100.0	No change	No change	4,923	4,937	25.6	26.0
Syracuse.....	No change	64.8	64.6				No change	No change	No change	No change
In urban fringe.....	117,248	118,223	35.2	35.4			2,746	2,769	162.3	164.5
Wheeling, W.Va.-Ohio.....	98,951	101,071	100.0	100.0	No change	No change	3,625	3,702	-7.2	-5.2
Wheeling.....	No change	54.0	52.8				No change	No change	No change	No change
In urban fringe.....	45,551	47,671	46.0	47.2			2,761	2,889	-4.6	-0.2
<u>Page 49</u>										
Wilmington, Del.-N.J.....	No change	No change	90.0	81.4	3,152	3,485	No change	No change	No change	No change
Wilmington.....			15.8	9.8	6,065	9,778				
In urban fringe.....			74.2	71.6	2,532	2,623				
York Pa.....	No change	No change	10.4	28.1	9,699	3,590	No change	No change	No change	No change
In urban fringe.....			5.7	23.4	8,135	1,982				

Page 50, table 23

Change ranks of following areas (see table 22 corrections for revised 1960 populations):

	From	To
Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke, Mass.-Conn.....	43	40
Akron, Ohio.....	40	41
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, N.Y.....	41	42
Sacramento, Calif.....	42	43
Jacksonville, Fla.....	50	48
San Bernardino-Riverside, Calif.....	48	49
Youngstown-Warren, Ohio.....	49	50
Syracuse, N.Y.....	56	55
Richmond, Va.....	55	56
Lorain-Elyria, Ohio.....	116	112
Jackson, Miss.....	112	113
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis.....	113	114
Evansville, Ind.....	114	115
Montgomery, Ala.....	115	116
Wheeling, W.Va.-Ohio.....	155	152
York, Pa.....	152	153
Colorado Springs, Colo.....	153	154
New Britain, Conn.....	154	155

Pages 51 and 53, table 24

State economic area in which county is located should be changed for the following counties:

From	To
Alabama:	
Tuscaloosa (3)	Tuscaloosa (E)
California:	
Yolo (9)	Yolo (4)
Illinois:	
Crawford	Crawford (9)

Page 51: Alaska. District 12, Kenai-Cook Inlet shown as District 12, Kenai-Cook Inlet.

Page 53: Hawaii. Change 1950 population of Maui County from 48,519 to 48,179, and percent increase, 1950 to 1960, from -12.2 to -11.6.

Page 65, table 27

Change date in title from 1950 to 1960.

Pages 66 and 107, tables 28 and 33

The 1940 population of Honolulu should carry the following footnote: "Excludes 1940 population (32) of Palmyra Island, formerly part of Honolulu. No population was reported in 1950 for the Island which was detached from the Territory when Hawaii became a State."

Page 66, table 28\*

Mobile, Ala.: Change 1960 population from 202,779 to 194,856. See note to table 22 corrections, above.

See footnotes on page XCIX.

Page 68, table 29

San Francisco: Change rank from 11 to 12 and 1960 population from 742,855 to 740,316. Milwaukee: Change rank from 12 to 11.

Pages 69, ff., table 30

<u>Page 69:</u> Greenwood (U), Ala. Delete area; revised 1960 population figure under 2,500.*
Mobile, Ala. Change 1960 population from 202,779 to 194,856; percent increase, 1950 to 1960, from 57.2 to 51.0; land area in square miles from 152.9 to 112.3; and population per square mile of land area from 1,326 to 1,735. See note to table 22 corrections, above.
Tuskegee, Ala. Add to table: 1960 population, 7,240; 1950 population, 6,712; percent increase, 1950 to 1960, 7.9; land area in square miles, 6.3; population per square mile of land area, 1,149. See text, page XXX.
<u>Page 70:</u> Bonneyview (U), Calif., shown as Bonneyville.
<u>Page 71:</u> Grover City, Calif., shown as Grover.
<u>Page 72:</u> Woodbury (U), Conn. Delete area; revised 1960 population figure under 2,500.*
<u>Page 73:</u> Gainesville North (U), <sup>2</sup> Fla. Change 1960 population from 4,290 to 5,520, and population per square mile of land area from 1,384 to 1,781.
<u>Page 77:</u> North Vernon, Ind. Change 1960 population from 4,062 to 4,307, <sup>3</sup> and population per square mile of land area from 3,125 to 3,313.
<u>Page 78:</u> Toledo, Iowa. Delete area; revised 1960 population figure under 2,500.*
<u>Page 80:</u> La Vale-Narrows Park (U), Md., shown as Lavale-Narrows Park (U).
<u>Page 81:</u> Austin Lake (U), Mich. Delete area; revised 1960 population figure under 2,500.*
<u>Page 84:</u> Great Falls, Mont. Change 1960 population from 55,357 to 55,244; <sup>1</sup> percent increase, 1950 to 1960, from 41.2 to 40.9; population per square mile of land area from 4,856 to 4,846.
Portsmouth, N.H. Change 1960 population from 25,833 to 26,900; <sup>2</sup> percent increase, 1950 to 1960, from 37.2 to 42.9; population per square mile of land area from 1,700 to 1,770.
<u>Page 85:</u> Levittown (U), N.J., shown as Levittown (U).
<u>Page 87:</u> San Remo (U), N.Y. Change 1960 population from 11,996 to 3,160; <sup>1</sup> population per square mile of land area from 4,284 to 1,129.
<u>Page 90:</u> Roseland (U), Ohio, shown as Rosedale (U).
<u>Page 91:</u> Dallas City, Oreg., shown as Dallas.
Dallas, Pa. Delete area; revised 1960 population figure under 2,500.*
<u>Page 95:</u> Euless, Texas. Add to table: 1960 population, 4,263; 1950 population, ...; percent increase, 1950 to 1960, ...; land area in square miles, 7.1; population per square mile of land area, 600.*
<u>Page 97:</u> Delete the second and third sentences of footnote to Norton, Va.; add: "The figure in the table resulted from omissions which were discovered too late for correction in the detailed distributions."
Portsmouth, Va. Change 1960 population from 144,773 to 114,773; <sup>1</sup> percent increase, 1950 to 1960, from 80.9 to 43.4; population per square mile of land area from 3,043 to 6,376.

(Continued on next page)









## United States Summary

Page 242, table 102\*

Residence in 1955	All classes		
	Northeast	South	West
Different house in U.S.:			
Same county.....	From.. 10,699,558 To.... 10,697,081	14,952,839 14,952,514	7,728,975 7,657,825
Different county.....	From.. 5,211,190 To.... 5,213,667	9,298,831 9,299,156	6,290,179 6,361,329
Same State.....	From.. 3,008,662 To.... 3,011,139	4,296,784 4,297,109	2,498,440 2,499,390

Page 248, table 105

Persons 5 years old and over--Percent migrant:	From	To
United States.....	17.4	17.5
West.....	25.3	25.6
Pacific.....	24.4	24.7
California.....	24.5	25.0

Page 257, table 112\*

State	Different house, same county	Different county		Percent distribution	
		Total	Same State	Same county	Different county, same State
Pennsylvania.....	From.. 2,831,216 To.... 2,828,739	1,008,852 1,011,329	610,509 612,986	No change	No change
Texas.....	From.. 2,662,218 To.... 2,661,893	1,743,770 1,744,095	1,061,349 1,061,674	No change	No change
California.....	From.. 4,667,813 To.... 4,596,663	3,426,291 3,497,441	1,488,161 1,559,311	33.4 32.9	10.6 11.2

Page 342, table G-2

The nonresponse rates for "Birth date, decade unknown" erroneously exclude nonresponses for group quarters members other than inmates.

Pages 470, 762, and 779, tables 188, 283, and 293

In the tabulation of families by number of members in the labor force and labor force status of head and wife, family members in the Armed Forces were erroneously treated as not in the labor force. Consequently, the published number of families with no members in the labor force is too high and the number of families with one or more members in the labor force is too low. In addition, the number of families with head only in the labor force, and probably also the number with head and wife in the labor force, is too low.

Corrected figures for the United States on families by number of members in the labor force based on a 5-percent sample are shown below. Corresponding figures for regions and SNA's are not available. Corrected figures for families by labor force status of husband and wife are not available. However, the amount by which the number of families with head only in the labor force is too low may be approximated by taking the difference between the number of families with no member in the labor force in table 188 and the corresponding figure in the data shown below.

Area, census year, and subject	All families	Number of members in the labor force			
		None	1	2	3 or more
<b>UNITED STATES: 1960</b>					
All families.....	45,148,610	4,252,140	23,682,760	13,761,730	3,251,980
Husband-wife.....	39,657,243	3,055,983	21,341,846	12,400,578	2,858,836
Other.....	5,491,367	1,196,157	2,540,914	1,361,152	393,144
White.....	40,886,534	3,741,523	21,996,505	12,333,092	2,815,414
Husband-wife.....	36,464,491	2,821,814	19,907,573	11,214,638	2,520,466
Other.....	4,422,043	919,709	2,088,932	1,118,454	294,948
Nonwhite.....	4,262,076	510,617	1,886,255	1,428,638	436,566
Husband-wife.....	3,192,752	234,169	1,424,273	1,185,940	338,370
Other.....	1,069,324	276,448	451,982	242,698	98,196

<sup>1</sup> The revised figure excludes a number of persons living outside the particular area who, in the original tabulations, were erroneously counted as living inside the area.

<sup>2</sup> The revised figure includes a number of persons living inside the particular area who, in the original tabulations, were erroneously counted as living outside the area.

<sup>3</sup> The revised figure includes a number of persons who were erroneously omitted in the original tabulations.

Pages 470, 762, and 779, tables 188, 283, and 293--Con.

Area, census year, and subject	All families	Number of members in the labor force			
		None	1	2	3 or more
<b>URBAN: 1960</b>					
All families.....	31,958,339	2,757,882	16,750,398	10,096,964	2,353,095
Husband-wife.....	27,744,177	1,906,975	14,777,208	9,011,947	2,048,047
Other.....	4,214,162	850,907	1,973,190	1,085,017	305,048
White.....	28,725,001	2,406,875	15,339,196	8,953,799	2,025,131
Husband-wife.....	25,360,276	1,767,818	13,730,024	8,066,197	1,796,237
Other.....	3,364,725	639,037	1,605,172	887,602	228,894
Nonwhite.....	3,233,338	351,007	1,411,202	1,143,165	327,964
Husband-wife.....	2,383,901	139,157	1,047,184	945,750	251,810
Other.....	849,437	211,850	364,018	197,415	76,154
<b>RURAL NONFARM: 1960</b>					
All families.....	9,847,098	1,190,740	5,293,180	2,757,572	605,606
Husband-wife.....	8,864,953	901,421	4,854,163	2,562,242	547,127
Other.....	982,145	289,319	439,017	195,330	58,479
White.....	9,098,716	1,062,629	4,954,910	2,547,460	533,717
Husband-wife.....	8,292,439	827,484	4,586,275	2,387,355	491,325
Other.....	806,277	235,145	368,635	160,105	42,392
Nonwhite.....	748,382	128,111	338,270	210,112	71,889
Husband-wife.....	572,514	73,937	267,888	174,887	55,602
Other.....	175,868	54,174	70,382	35,225	16,087
<b>RURAL FARM: 1960</b>					
All families.....	3,343,173	303,518	1,839,182	907,194	293,279
Husband-wife.....	3,048,113	247,587	1,710,475	826,389	263,662
Other.....	295,060	55,931	128,707	80,805	29,617
White.....	3,062,817	272,019	1,702,399	831,833	256,566
Husband-wife.....	2,811,776	226,512	1,591,274	761,086	232,904
Other.....	251,041	45,507	111,125	70,747	23,662
Nonwhite.....	280,356	31,499	136,783	75,361	36,713
Husband-wife.....	236,237	21,075	119,201	65,303	30,728
Other.....	44,019	10,424	17,582	10,058	5,955

Page 644, table 241

Years of school completed, College, 1, for Nonwhite, Male, 25 years and over, should read 14,595.

Page 747, table 268

Median income (dollars) for South, Nonwhite, Nonearners, should read 557.

Page 778, table 292

Area	Members in family					
	2	3	4	5	6	7 or more
Washington, D.C.-Md.-Va., From..	29.6	20.3	16.4	12.0	8.2	13.4
To....	31.9	21.9	20.7	12.8	6.6	6.2

Pages 798-800, table 302\*

During the tabulation of statistics on place of work, it was discovered that some enumerators working in unincorporated areas near large cities had failed to identify correctly these large cities as places of work (see text, p. LXXVII). In the tabulations for census tracts, a corrective mechanical edit was introduced that allocated such cases to the largest city in the same county. In some few instances, notably Armed Forces installations, the initial entries were correct, and appreciable numbers of persons living in the ring were incorrectly assigned the central city as a place of work by the edit.

Since many of these persons had reported themselves as working at home, the previous edit was expanded in the tabulations for chapter D to assign these latter cases, as well as other inconsistencies of the same type, to means of transportation not reported. A rough measure of the size of the error involved may be obtained by determining the amount by which the chapter D figure exceeds the chapter C figure for the "not reported" category in means of transportation for persons living in the SNA ring. The following SNA's were notably affected by this error: Huntsville, Ala.; Phoenix, Ariz.; San Bernardino-Riverside-Ontario, Calif.; San Diego, Calif.; Colorado Springs, Colo.; Jacksonville, Pensacola, and Tampa-St. Petersburg, Fla.; Columbus, Ohio; Honolulu, Hawaii; Duluth-Superior, Minn.; Memphis, Tenn.; San Antonio and Waco, Texas; Spokane and Tacoma, Wash.